

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-02-22
2. **Agency:** 007
3. **Bureau:** 21
4. **Name of this Investment:** GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM
5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 007-21-01-01-01-0314-00
6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Mixed Life Cycle
  - Planning
  - Full Acquisition
  - Operations and Maintenance
  - Mixed Life Cycle
  - Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2005
8.
  - a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

GFEBs will become the Dept of Army's new core financial management system for administering its General Fund to improve performance, standardize processes and ensure it can meet future needs. GFEBs shall be capable of supporting DoD with accurate, reliable and timely financial information, in peacetime and in war. GFEBs will be a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system certified by the Chief Financial Officer's Council (CFOC), providing the six core financial functions (United States General Ledger (USGL), Cost Management, Funds Control, Payable Management, Receivable Management and Reports). GFEBs will allow senior leaders to make informed decisions on a real time system and supports the "Future Force" transition path of the Army Campaign Plan (ACP). The fielding of GFEBs will close deficiencies within today's financial systems such as: lack of transaction-based general ledger controls, non-standard general ledger charts of accounts, lack of integrated, accurate, accessible, relevant in near real time financial data, lack of traceability of cost transactions, limited visibility of Real Property (fixed asset valuation) and absence of linkage between budget and performance information. GFEBs will replace over 80 systems by FY2017 and once fully deployed in FY12, will provide Army decision makers with full cost visibility capabilities for financial management. In FY2010 GFEBs completed a Limited User Test in the 4th Quarter. GFEBs also went live with Release 1.4 at MEDCOM in January 2010, supporting approximately 80 users, Wave 2 supporting approximately 3,700 additional users in April 2010 and fielded Release 1.4.1 in April 2010. GFEBs also fielded Release 1.4.2 to current and Wave 3 users in 1 October 2010 and Wave 4 users on 4 Jan 2011. GFEBs continues to conduct two types of training, initial training in preparation for deployment and On-Site Support training after deployment. In addition to fielding and training, GFEBs continues to sustain Helpdesk and Maintenance for installations already live and will have fielded Release 1.4.3 functionality and deployed to Waves 5-7. The primary beneficiaries include: Resource Managers, Commanders, who will receive accurate, timely, and reliable information, Finance and Accounting Officers, and Army, DoD, and Congress, who will have an auditable financial system. .
  - b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.**

Title	Link
NONE	

9.

- a. **Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.**

2005-06-24

- b. **Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter.** 2005-06-24

10. **Contact information?**

- a. **Program/Project Manager Name:** \*

**Phone Number:** \*

**Email:** \*

- b. **Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner):** Robert Speer

**Phone Number:** \*

**Email:** \*

**11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA):** Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding

(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding**  
**(In millions of dollars)**

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 5

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: \*

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		<a href="#">N0010404AZF12</a>			*	*	\$563,000,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2003-10-01		Y	Migrated Data Value Unknown
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ08D0007</a>		W91QUZ07R0007	*	*	\$29,400,000.0	Order Dependent (IDV only)	N	2008-03-20		Y	Program Management Support Services
Awarded		9700	GS35F0284T		*	*	\$35,130,000.0	Time and Materials	Y	2008-09-18	2011-09-27	Completed under SAP	Tech Support - provide Engineering and Technical ERP experience to assist the Army in fielding the GFEBS program.
Awarded		<a href="#">HC104705A4001</a>			*	*	\$162,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2004-10-01		Y	Migrated Data Value Unknown
Awarded		W91QUZ10H005			*	*	\$40,600.0	Firm Fixed	Y	2010-08-03	2011-08-03	Not	Provide

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
								Price				Completed under SAP	maintenance and configuration of LTM appliances to include software version review, hardware platform review and network configuration.
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ09A0003</a>			*	*	\$38,050.0	Order Dependent (IDV only)	N	2009-03-26		N	Software products
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ07D0009</a>		W91QUZ06R0009	*	*	\$146,487.8	Firm Fixed Price	X	2007-02-09		Y	Guaranteed Minimum
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ07D0010</a>		W91QUZ06R0009	*	*	\$202,061.5	Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment	X	2007-02-09		Y	Information Technology Network Solutions including hardware and related services.
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ07D0010</a>		W91QUZ06R	*	*	\$133,384.8	Fixed Price	X	2007-02-09		Y	Information

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
				0009				with Economic Price Adjustment					Technology Network Solutions including hardware and related services.
Awarded		N0010408AZF41D004		0	*	*	\$183,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-09-29	2013-12-31	Full and Open Competition	SAP NetWeaver Business Warehouse (BW) Accelerator licenses
Awarded		W91RUS06A003		0	*	*	\$91,475.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-12-22	2011-12-21	Full and Open Competition	AT&T wireless service for phones and data cards.

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

\*

3.

a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow \*

b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 \*

c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements \*

d. If "yes," enter the date of approval? \*

e. Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? \*

f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? \*

g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

\*



## Part II: IT Capital Investments

### Section A: General

1.
  - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
  - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
  
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. GFEBS is not using cloud computing for service delivery. Security requirements for least privilege, protection of PII, acquisition sensitive, proprietary information, and issues of pecuniary liability make cloud computing infeasible at this time.
  
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2010-08-31
  
4.
  - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
  - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).  
 007-21-01-04-01-6298-00,007-21-01-04-02-4676-00,007-21-05-04-02-4766-00,007-21-05-04-01-5070-00,  
 007-21-01-04-02-4531-00,007-21-01-01-02-4556-00,007-21-01-01-02-4579-00,007-21-01-01-02-4598-0  
 0,007-21-01-05-02-1758-00,007-97-01-02-01-1794-00,007-21-05-02-02-3545-00,007-21-01-03-02-4291-  
 00,007-21-01-04-02-4490-00,007-21-01-03-02-0211-00,007-21-01-01-02-1003-00,007-21-01-01-02-1061  
 -00,007-21-01-04-02-0147-00
  
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2009-10-09
  
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-08-05

## Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Contract Preparation, Architecture Design and Program Support. During this time the Program Management Office was stood up with the Program Management Support Services Contract brought on in May 2005 and the System Integrator brought on in July 2005		*	\$3.8	\$3.8	2003-09-01	2003-09-01	2005-04-17	2005-04-17	100.00%	100.00%
Complete Release 1.1. Release 1.1 was a Technology Demonstration held at Fort. Jackson South Carolina. The final demonstration was held on July 10, 2006. The lifecycle of the Release ran from 27 June 2005- 10 July 2006.		*	\$119.9	\$119.9	2005-06-28	2005-06-28	2006-07-10	2006-07-10	100.00%	100.00%
Complete Release 1.2. Release 1.2 will provide Full		*	\$169.2	\$169.2	2006-06-07	2006-06-07	2008-10-30	2008-10-01	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Operational Capability at one IMA Garrison (Fort Jackson South Carolina). GFEBS went live with Release 1.2 on 1 October 2008 at Fort Jackson, South Carolina.										
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.2 GFEBS Operations & Maintenance includes costs for System Management, Hardware Maintenance, Software Maintenance, and Unit/Site Operations such as Application Service Provider Services and Help Desk Support.		*	\$13.2	\$13.2	2008-10-30	2008-10-30	2015-09-30	2009-03-31	100.00%	100.00%
Complete Release 1.3 Release 1.3 will provide full capability at all STANFINS locations, including the Guard and Reserves. Release 1.3		*	\$41.8	\$41.8	2008-03-10	2008-03-10	2009-04-01	2009-04-01	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
projected life cycle is May 2008- Sept. 2010. Go Live is projected for April 2009 with deployment until Sept. 2010.										
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.3 GFEBS Operations & Maintenance includes costs for System Management, Hardware Maintenance, Software Maintenance, and Unit/Site Operations such as Application Service Provider Services and Help Desk Support.		*	\$10.6	\$10.6	2009-04-01	2009-04-01	2015-09-30	2009-10-01	100.00%	100.00%
Complete Release 1.4 Release 1.4 will provide full capability at all SOMARDS locations. Release 1.4 projected life cycle is Jan 2009-Sept. 2010. Go Live is projected for Oct. 2009 with	DME	*	\$248.7	\$141.0	2008-07-01	2008-07-01	2011-12-31		57.00%	57.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
deployment until Sept. 2010.										
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY10 GFEBS Operations & Maintenance includes costs for System Management, Hardware Maintenance, Software Maintenance, and Unit/Site Operations such as Application Service Provider Services and Help Desk Support.	SS	*	\$87.9	\$87.9	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Technology Refresh FY10 GFEBS FOC is projected for September 2010 with Technology Refresh continuing until FY21.	SS	*	\$0.3	\$0.3	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY11	SS	*	\$94.1	\$23.5	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		25.00%	25.00%
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY15	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
Operations &	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY16										
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY12	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY13	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 FY14	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance-Release 1.4 To Complete	SS	*	*	*	2016-10-01	*	2021-12-31	*	*	*
Technology Refresh FY11	SS	*	\$10.3	\$0.0	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Technology Refresh FY12	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
Technology Refresh FY13	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
Technology Refresh FY14	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
Technology Refresh FY15	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
Technology Refresh FY16	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*
Technology Refresh - To Complete	SS	*	*	*	2016-10-01	*	2021-12-31	*	*	*

- 2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.** Not applicable as cost, schedule and performance variances are within 10 percent of the current baseline.
- 3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.** 2011-09-01
- 4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?** yes

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*



Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

## Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Processes and Activities	Compliance	% of GFEBS compliance with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) (based on AAA report requirements)	monthly	Percent	Increase	80%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	GFEBS plans to be 99% compliant with applicable FFMIA requirements by FY15.	GFEBS was approximately 90% compliant at the completion of FY09.	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Compliance	% of GFEBS compliance with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) (based on AAA report requirements)	monthly	Percent	Increase	80%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	GFEBS plans to be 99% compliant with applicable FFMIA requirements by FY15.	GFEBS was approximately 95% compliant at the completion of FY10.	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Compliance	% of GFEBS compliance with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) (based on AAA report requirements)	monthly	Percent	Increase	80%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

			2011	GFEBS plans to be 99% compliant with applicable FFMIA requirements by FY15.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Compliance	% of GFEBS compliancy with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) (based on AAA report requirements)	monthly	Percent	Increase	80%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	GFEBS plans to be 99% compliant with applicable FFMIA requirements by FY15.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Availability	% of time (outside scheduled maintenance time) system is available to the end user	monthly	Percent	Maintain current	90%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	GFEBS should be available to end users at least 90% of the time.	GFEBS was available 99.5% of the time.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Availability	% of time (outside scheduled maintenance time) system is available to the end user	monthly	Percent	Maintain current	90%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	GFEBS should be available to end users at least 90% of the time.	GFEBS was available 99.5% of the time.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Availability	% of time (outside scheduled maintenance time) system is available to the end user	monthly	Percent	Maintain current	90%	2009-10-01

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	GFEBS should be available to end users at least 90% of the time.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Availability	% of time (outside scheduled maintenance time) system is available to the end user	monthly	Percent	Maintain current	90%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	GFEBS should be available to end users at least 90% of the time.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of users satisfied with their system. This indicator will be measured for GFEBS as the % of users who rate the system as highly usable. Data will be collected via survey; highly usable will correspond to a five rating on a five point scale.	annual	Percent	Increase	10%	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	GFEBS plans to increase user satisfaction to 80% for FY08 and the out years.	GFEBS successfully went live with Release 1.2 in October 2008 and Release 1.3 in April 2009. GFEBS successfully increased user satisfaction to 80% at Release 1.2 and Release 1.3.	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of users satisfied with their system. This indicator will be measured for GFEBS as	annual	Percent	Maintain	10%	2008-10-01

		the % of users who rate the system as highly usable. Data will be collected via survey; highly usable will correspond to a five rating on a five point scale.					
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	GFEBS plans to maintain user satisfaction at 80%.	GFEBS successfully went live with Release 1.4 in FY10 and increased user satisfaction to 80% at Release 1.4 deployed installation.	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of users satisfied with their system. This indicator will be measured for GFEBS as the % of users who rate the system as highly usable. Data will be collected via survey; highly usable will correspond to a five rating on a five point scale.	annual	Percent	Maintain	10%	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	GFEBS plans to maintain user satisfaction at 80%.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	% of users satisfied with their system. This indicator will be measured for GFEBS as the % of users who rate the system as highly usable. Data will be collected via survey; highly usable will	annual	Percent	Maintain	10%	2008-10-01

		correspond to a five rating on a five point scale.					
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	GFEBs plans to maintain user satisfaction at 80%.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Interoperability	Interface success rate between GFEBs and feeder systems	monthly	% of successful interfaces	Maintain current	95%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Interfaces between GFEBs and feeder systems should operate successfully 95% of the time.	FY09 interface success rate is approximately 98%.	Met	2010-09-20
Technology	Interoperability	Interface success rate between GFEBs and feeder systems	monthly	% of successful interfaces	Maintain current	95%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Interfaces between GFEBs and feeder systems should operate successfully 95% of the time.	FY10 interface success rate is approximately 98%.	Met	2011-02-11
Technology	Interoperability	Interface success rate between GFEBs and feeder systems	monthly	% of successful interfaces	Maintain current	95%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	Interfaces between GFEBs and feeder systems should operate successfully 95% of the time.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20

Technology	Interoperability	Interface success rate between GFEBS and feeder systems	monthly	% of successful interfaces	Maintain current	95%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Interfaces between GFEBS and feeder systems should operate successfully 95% of the time.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Management Improvement	Measure the percent of functional benefits realized to the Army with the realization of the GFEBS system and the phasing of antiquated legacy financial systems.	annual	Percent	Increase	0%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	GFEBS plans to realize 0% of functional benefits in FY09 with the realization of the GFEBS system and the retirement of legacy financial systems.	GFEBS realized 0% of benefits since the system was deployed to only a minimum number of users.	Met	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Management Improvement	Measure the percent of functional benefits realized to the Army with the realization of the GFEBS system and the phasing of antiquated legacy financial systems.	annual	Percent	Increase	0%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	GFEBS plans to realize 0% of functional benefits in FY10 with the realization of the GFEBS system and the retirement of legacy	0% of functional benefits realized in FY10.	Met	2011-02-11

Mission and Business Results	Management Improvement	Measure the percent of functional benefits realized to the Army with the realization of the GFEBS system and the phasing of antiquated legacy financial systems.	annual	Percent	Increase	0%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	GFEBS plans to realize 10% of functional benefits in FY10 with the realization of the GFEBS system and the retirement of legacy financial systems.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Management Improvement	Measure the percent of functional benefits realized to the Army with the realization of the GFEBS system and the phasing of antiquated legacy financial systems.	annual	Percent	Increase	0%	2009-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	GFEBS plans to realize 50% of functional benefits in FY12 with the realization of the GFEBS system and the retirement of legacy financial systems.	Data not yet available.	Not Due	2011-02-11

\* - Indicates data is redacted.